#### Original Paper

# **QTL mapping of adult‑plant resistance to leaf rust in a RIL population derived from a cross of wheat cultivars Shanghai 3/Catbird and Naxos**

**Yue Zhou · Yan Ren · Morten Lillemo · Zhanjun Yao · Peipei Zhang · Xianchun Xia · Zhonghu He · Zaifeng Li · Daqun Liu**

Received: 28 April 2014 / Accepted: 7 June 2014 / Published online: 27 June 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

#### **Abstract**

*Key message* **Six QTL for adult plant resistance to leaf rust, including two QTL effective against additional dis‑ eases, were identified in a RIL population derived from a cross between Shanghai 3/Catbird and Naxos.**

*Abstract* Leaf rust is an important wheat disease and utilization of adult-plant resistance (APR) may be the best approach to achieve long-term protection from the disease. The CIMMYT spring wheat line Shanghai 3/Catbird (SHA3/CBRD) showed a high level of APR to Chinese *Puccinia triticina* pathotypes in the field. To identify APR genes in this line, a mapping population of 164 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) was developed from a cross of this line and Naxos, a moderately susceptible German cultivar. The RILs were evaluated for final disease severity (FDS) at Baoding, Hebei province, and Zhoukou, Henan province, in the 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 cropping seasons. QTL analysis detected one major QTL derived from

Communicated by Hermann Buerstmayr.

Y. Zhou · Z. Yao · P. Zhang · Z. Li  $(\boxtimes)$  · D. Liu  $(\boxtimes)$ Department of Plant Pathology, College of Plant Protection, Hebei Agricultural University, Biological Control Center for Plant Diseases and Plant Pests of Hebei, 289 Lingyusi Street, Baoding 071001, Hebei, China e-mail: lzf7551@aliyun.com

D. Liu e-mail: ldq@hebau.edu.cn

Y. Zhou Baoding University, 3027 Qiyi Donglu Street, Baoding 071001, Hebei, China

#### Y. Ren

College of Agronomy, Henan Agricultural University, 63 Nongye Road, Zhengzhou 450002, Henan, China

SHA3/CBRD on chromosome 2BS explaining from 15 to 37 % of the phenotypic variance across environments. In addition one minor resistance QTL on chromosome 1AL from SHA3/CBRD and four minor QTL from Naxos on chromosomes 2DL, 5B, 7BS, and 7DS were also detected. SHA3/CBRD also possessed seedling resistance gene *Lr26*, and Naxos contained *Lr1* based on gene postulation following tests with an array of *P. triticina* pathotypes and molecular marker assays. These seedling resistance and APR genes and their closely linked molecular markers are potentially useful for improving leaf rust resistance in wheat breeding programs.

## **Introduction**

Leaf rust (LR), caused by *Puccinia triticina*, is one of the most important wheat diseases worldwide. It is adapted to a wide range of environments, occurs wherever wheat is grown, and can cause significant yield losses (Knott [1989](#page-9-0)).

M. Lillemo

Department of Plant Sciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, 1432 Ås, Norway

X. Xia · Z. He

Institute of Crop Science, National Wheat Improvement Center, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), 12 Zhongguancun South Street, Beijing 100081, China

Z. He

International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) China Office, C/o CAAS, 12 Zhongguancun South Street, Beijing 100081, China

During the past decades, leaf rust has periodically caused significant yield losses in various Chinese wheat growing regions, but particularly in the Yellow and Huai valleys (Zhao et al. [2008\)](#page-10-0). In 2012, significant yield losses were recorded in the provinces of Gansu, Sichuan, Shaanxi, Henan and Anhui (Zhou et al. [2013\)](#page-10-1). Resistant cultivars are the most efficient, economic and environmentally friendly means to reduce losses caused by leaf rust.

Much progress has been made in searching for resistance to leaf rust in wheat. To date, 72 leaf rust resistance genes have been catalogued (McIntosh et al. [2013](#page-10-2); Li et al. [2014](#page-9-1)). Most of these genes confer race-specific resistance that generally lacks durability. Therefore, it is important to identify and use slow rusting types of adult-plant resistance (APR) in breeding programs as current evidence suggests that such sources are more likely to be durable. Cultivars with slow rusting non-hypersensitivity types of APR develop rust symptoms at later growth stages, but at levels that do not lead to significant losses, or losses that are much lower than in susceptible controls. This type of resistance is less likely to be overcome by changes in the pathogen population and is selected in greater durability (Bjarko and Line [1988](#page-9-2)). In epidemiological terms, slow rusting APR is characterized by slow disease development in the field despite high infection types, longer latent periods, low infection frequencies, smaller uredinial size and reduced duration of sporulation, and less spore production (Caldwell [1968\)](#page-9-3). The Chinese landrace Pingyuan 50, the Californian CIMMYT-derived cultivar Anza, and the Mexican line Pavon 76 with these characteristics have shown high, stable and durable resistance to leaf rust (Zhang et al. [2009\)](#page-10-3). Three of the 72 cataloged leaf rust resistance genes, namely, *Lr34* (Dyck [1977](#page-9-4)), *Lr46* (Singh et al. [1998\)](#page-10-4) and *Lr67* (Herrera-Foessel et al. [2011](#page-9-5)), are APR or slow leaf rusting genes that also confer resistance to stripe rust and powdery mildew (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-9-1). Dyck and Samborski [\(1982](#page-9-6)) first reported slow rusting resistance gene *Lr34* in the Brazilian wheat cultivar Frontana. Dyck ([1987\)](#page-9-7) located *Lr34* on chromosome 7D. McIntosh ([1992\)](#page-9-8) and Singh [\(1992](#page-10-5)) independently discovered that lines possessing *Lr34* also conferred resistance resistance to stripe rust and the name *Yr18* was given to it. *Lr34* confers a moderate level of leaf rust resistance when present alone; however, combinations with additional slow rusting genes generally result in higher resistance levels (Singh et al. [2000a](#page-10-6)). Nelson et al. ([1997\)](#page-10-7) identified two QTL for leaf rust resistance, one located on chromosome 7DS (*Lr34*), the other on 2BS, and together they explained 45 % of the phenotype variation. Krattinger et al. ([2009\)](#page-9-9) showed that the *Lr34* protein resembled ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporters. Singh et al. [\(1998](#page-10-4)) identified gene *Lr46* for slow leaf rusting on chromosome 1B in the cultivar Pavon 76. William et al. [\(2003](#page-10-8)) mapped *Lr46* to the terminal bin of 1BL, closely linked to AFLP marker *PstAAgMseCTA*-*1*, and it colocated with a slow stripe rusting gene later named *Yr29*. Lillemo et al. [\(2008](#page-9-10)) reported that *Lr46*/*Yr29* co-located with a powdery mildew resistance gene later named *Pm39*, and that *Lr34*/*Yr18* co-located with *Pm38*. Mutation studies have now confirmed the pleiotropy of these genes (Krattinger et al. [2011\)](#page-9-11). Herrera-Foessel et al. [\(2011](#page-9-5)) mapped a third slow rusting resistance gene *Lr67*/*Yr46* on chromosome 4DL, closely linked to SSR markers *gwm165* and *gwm192*. This gene is also associated with partial resistance to powdery mildew (Herrera-Foessel et al. [2014](#page-9-12)). Herrera-Foessel et al. ([2012\)](#page-9-13) found at least three APR QTL for leaf rust in wheat line Parula, including *Lr34* and *Lr46*, and a third gene on chromosome 7BL designated as *Lr68*. At present, around 80 leaf rust APR QTL are located on 16 wheat chromosomes (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-9-1), but further characterization of most of them is still required.

Although quantitative resistance may be more durable than qualitative resistance, it could be overcome by slow evolution in the pathogen population (McDonald and Linde [2002](#page-9-14)). It is therefore very important to identify further sources of slow rusting genes for breeding cultivars with durable resistance. Ren et al. [\(2012b](#page-10-9)) detected three QTL for resistance to leaf rust on chromosomes 1BL and 6BS (2 genes) in Chinese wheat cultivar Bainong 64; those QTL explained 14.9–21.2, 10.0–11.2 and 9.0–9.7 % of the phenotypic variance, respectively.

The CIMMYT spring wheat line Shanghai 3/Catbird has a high level of APR to Chinese *P*. *triticina* pathotypes in the field, but is susceptible to some pathotypes at the seedling stage. QTL mapping of APR to powdery mildew and stripe rust in a SHA3/CBRD//Naxos RIL population was recently reported by Lu et al. ([2012\)](#page-9-15) and Ren et al. ([2012a](#page-10-10)), respectively. However, similar studies on APR to LR in this population have not been reported. Thus, the objectives of this study were to undertake a QTL study of APR to LR in the SHA3/CBRD//Naxos population, and to assess the stability of the identified QTL across environments.

#### **Materials and methods**

## Plant materials and *P. triticina* pathotypes

One hundred and sixty-four  $F_6$  RILs developed by singleseed descent from the cross SHA3/CBRD//Naxos were used for QTL mapping of APR to leaf rust. Naxos (Tordo/ St.Mir808-Bastion//Minaret), a spring wheat cultivar from Germany, is susceptible at the seedling stage to some Chinese *P. triticina* pathotypes, and usually displays susceptibility in the field. SHA3/CBRD (Shanghai 3//Chuanmai 18/Bagula), developed by the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), is susceptible to

some Chinese *P. triticina* pathotypes at the seedling stage, but is highly resistant in the field. SHA3/CBRD has the 1B.1R translocation (Ren et al. [2012a](#page-10-10)). Both parents are adapted to growing conditions in China. A set of 36 differential lines, mostly near-isogenic lines in the background of Thatcher, with known leaf rust resistance genes, and 14 Chinese *P*. *triticina* pathotypes were included in the seedling tests (Table [1](#page-3-0)). The 14 pathotypes were designated following the coding system of Long and Kolmer [\(1989](#page-9-16)), with addition of a fourth letter for the reactions to a fourth quartet of differentials ([http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/](http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/ad_hoc/36400500Cerealrusts/pt_nomen.pdf) [ad\\_hoc/36400500Cerealrusts/pt\\_nomen.pdf](http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/ad_hoc/36400500Cerealrusts/pt_nomen.pdf)).

## Seedling tests in the greenhouse

Naxos, SHA3/CBRD and 36 wheat lines with known leaf rust resistance genes were tested with 14 Chinese *P*. *trit‑ icina* pathotypes (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Seedlings were grown in a growth chamber. When the first leaf was fully expanded, inoculations were performed by brushing urediniospores from fully infected susceptible genotype Zhengzhou 5389 onto the new seedlings. Inoculated seedlings were placed in plastic-covered cages and incubated at 15 °C and 100 % relative humidity (RH) for 24 h in darkness. They were then transferred to a growth chamber programmed with 12 h light/12 h darkness at 18–22  $\degree$ C and 70 % RH. Infection types (IT) were scored 10–14 days after inoculation according to the Stakman scale as modified by Roelfs et al. [\(1992](#page-10-11)). Plants with IT  $0-2+$  were considered to be resistant and those with IT 3–4 were susceptible. Resistance genes were postulated following Dubin et al. ([1989\)](#page-9-17).

## Field trials

The 164  $F_6$  RILs and their parents were evaluated for leaf rust reaction in field nurseries at Baoding in Hebei and Zhoukou in Henan in the 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 cropping seasons. Both locations are hotspots for leaf rust with ideal conditions for rust infection and spread. Field trials were conducted in randomized complete blocks with three replicates at each location. Each plot consisted of a single 1.5 m row with 30 cm between rows. Approximately 100 seeds were sown in each row. Every tenth row was planted with the highly susceptible line Zhengzhou 5389 as a control and to aid the spread of the spores within the trial. Additional rows of Zhengzhou 5389 were planted perpendicular and adjacent to the test rows. Epidemics were initiated by spraying aqueous suspensions of urediniospores containing equal amounts of *P. triticina* pathotypes MHJS, THJL, and PHGP to which a few drops of Tween 20 (0.03 %) were added, onto the spreader rows at tillering. These pathotypes were virulent on SHA3/CBRD and Naxos at the seedling stage (Table [1](#page-3-0)). Disease severities were scored two or three times at weekly intervals according to the modified Cobb scale (Peterson et al. [1948](#page-10-12)), with the first scoring 4 weeks after inoculation. The final disease severity (FDS) was recorded for each line in each environment as a percentage leaf area infected when the susceptible check Zhengzhou 5389 displayed its FDS around 25 May in Zhoukou and 10 June in Baoding.

#### Genotyping

Nine hundred and fifty-two simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers were screened on SHA3/CBRD and Naxos, including the series designated BARC (Song et al. [2002\)](#page-10-13), CFA, CFD and GPW (Sourdille et al. [2004](#page-10-14)), CNL and KSUM (Yu et al. [2004\)](#page-10-15), GDM (Pestsova et al. [2000](#page-10-16)), GWM (Röder et al. [1998](#page-10-17)), MAG (Xue et al. [2008](#page-10-18)), SWM (Bossolini et al. [2006](#page-9-18); Krattinger et al. [2009](#page-9-9)), UGWM (Parida et al. [2006\)](#page-10-19) and WMC (Gupta et al. [2002\)](#page-9-19). Polymorphic SSR markers were then used to genotype all 164 RILs from the cross of SHA3/CBRD//Naxos by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The population was also screened with diversity array technology (DArT) markers. In addition, a rye (*Secale cereale* L.) 1RS-specific marker (Chai et al. [2006](#page-9-20)) was included for detection of the 1B.1R translocation.

#### Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was performed with PROC GLM in the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, V8), with genotype as a fixed effect, and environments, locations  $\times$  years, and replicates as random effects. The information in the ANOVA (Table [2](#page-4-0)) was used to calculate broad sense heritabilities  $(h_b^2)$  based on:  $h_b^2 = \sigma_g^2 / (\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2/e + \sigma_g^2 / re)$  (Allard [1960\)](#page-9-21), where  $\sigma_g^2 = (MS_f - MS_{fe})/re$ ,  $\sigma_{ge}^2 = (MS_{fe} - MS_e)/r$ and  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 = MS_e$ ;  $\sigma_{g}^2$  is the genetic variance,  $\sigma_{ge}^2$  is the genotype  $\times$  environment interaction variance,  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2$  is the error variance,  $MS_f$  is the genotype mean square,  $MS_{fe}$  is the mean square for genotype  $\times$  environment interaction, MS<sub>e</sub> is the mean square for error, *r* is the number of replicates and *e* is the number of environments.

## Map construction and QTL analysis

The genotypic data for markers were used to construct genetic linkage maps with the software MapManager QTX20 (Manly et al. [2001\)](#page-9-22). Genetic distances between markers were estimated using the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi [1944](#page-9-23)). The assignment of linkage groups on chromosomes was checked according to previously published wheat consensus maps (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20); [http://www.wheat.pw.usda.gov\)](http://www.wheat.pw.usda.gov).

Tester		Lr gene Infection types to Puccinia triticina pathotypes <sup>a</sup>													
				PHKS MHJS FHDQ© FGBQ FHBQ FHDQ© THJL FHDR FGDQ FHDS THJP TGTT PHGP											<b>THJC</b>
RL6003	Lr1	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$		$\ddot{\phantom{0}}$			4	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$\mathbf{0}$	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4
<b>Naxos</b>	Lr1	4	4		$\boldsymbol{0}$	0;	$\boldsymbol{0}$	3		$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	3	4	3	1
RL6016	Lr2a	;		$1+$	$\cdot$		1	3			$\overline{c}$	3	3		4
RL6047	Lr2c	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4	4
RL6002	Lr3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4	4
RL6010	Lr9		,	,		0;	0		$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	,	0	
RL6005	Lr16	4	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4	$3+$	4	4	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	3	4
RL6064	Lr24	;1													
<b>RL6078</b>	Lr26	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	$\overline{4}$	1	4	4	;1	4	4
SHA3/CBRD	$Lr26+$	1	$\overline{4}$	3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	3	3	4	3	$\boldsymbol{0}$		1		$3+$	$3+$
RL6007	Lr3 ka	Χ	X					1				1	4		X
RL6053	Lr11	4	4	$\mathbf{1}$			$1+$	$3+$	1	1	$\overline{c}$	4	$3+$	4	4
<b>RL6008</b>	Lr17	4	$3+$	$3+$	2	$\mathfrak{2}$	$3+$	4	$3+$	4	4	4	4	$2+$	4
RL6049	Lr30	3 <sup>C</sup>	1	$\mathbf{1}$				1			$\cdot$	$\vdots$	4		1
RL6051	LrB	$3+$	4	4	4	$3+$	4	$3+$	4	4	4	4	4	4	X
RL6004	Lr10	3	3	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	$2+$	4	1	X
RL6013	Lr14a	4	4	Χ	Χ	Χ	X	Χ	Χ	2	4	4	4	$3+$	X
RL6009	$Lrl8$	1	$1+$	$\overline{c}$	$\overline{c}$	$\overline{c}$	$\mathfrak{2}$	$1+$	$\overline{4}$	$2+$	$\overline{c}$	4	$3+$	3 <sub>C</sub>	3
RL6019	Lr2b	$\mathbf{1}$	0;	4		3	$3+$	4	3	$3+$	$3+$	2	4	3 <sub>C</sub>	4
RL6042	Lr3bg	4	4	4	4	4	$3+$	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Manitou	Lr13	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	$3+$	4	4	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$
<b>RL6006</b>	Lr14b	$\overline{4}$	4	4	4	4	$\overline{4}$	4	4	$\overline{4}$	4	Χ	4	Χ	4
RL6052	Lr15	1						4	1			$\overline{4}$	$3+$	4	4
RL6040	Lr19	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$		$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$		$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
RL6092	Lr20	4	4									4	1	4	
RL6043	Lr21	4	2	$\overline{c}$		$2+$	3		1		$1+$		3	1	1
RL6012	Lr23	4	4	4	$3+$	$3+$	4	1	4	4	4	$\overline{4}$	4	$3+$	4
RL6079	Lr28	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\mathbf{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0
<b>RL6080</b>	Lr29	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0	$\boldsymbol{0}$		0			$\mathbf{0}$	$3+$	4		0	0
RL6057	Lr33	3	4	$3+$	$3+$	$3+$	4	3C	$3+$	3	4	4	4	$3+$	$3+$
E84018	Lr36	4	2	$1+$		$\overline{c}$	$\overline{2}$	1	$\overline{c}$	$2+$	3	$2+$	$3+$	$2+$	$3+$
<b>KS86</b> ${\rm NGRC02}$	Lr39		;1										$\ddot{ }$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
<b>KS91</b> WGRC11	Lr42	$\vdots$	$\ddot{\phantom{0}}$	$\vdots$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\vdots$	$\ddot{\phantom{a}}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\ddot{\phantom{a}}$	$\ddot{\phantom{a}}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\vdots$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$
RL6147	Lr44	$\mathbf{1}$		4	4	4	4	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{4}$	4	4		$1+$	;1	$\mathbf{1}$
RL6144	Lr45	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$		$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	4		;	;
$PAVON + Lr47$ $Lr47$		$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$
C78.5	Lr51		$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddot{,}$	1	$\ddot{\phantom{0}}$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\boldsymbol{0}$		$\vdots$	;
98M71	Lr53		$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\vdots$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1 Seedling infection types<sup>a</sup> on 36 wheat lines with known leaf rust resistance genes when tested with 14 pathotypes of *Puccinia triticina* collected from China

<sup>a</sup> According to the 0–4 Stakman scale as modified by Roelfs et al. ([1992\)](#page-10-11)

+Uredinia somewhat larger than normal for the IT

QTL for leaf rust resistance were detected using the mean FDS of three replicates in each environment. QTL analysis was conducted using the ICIM-ADD function with the software QTL IciMapping 3.1 (Li et al. [2007](#page-9-24)). A logarithm of odds (LOD) threshold of 2.5, calculated from 1,000 permutations at a probability of 0.05, was used for declaring definitive

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Analysis of variance of FDS scores for RILs generated from the cross SHA3/CBRD//Naxos

Source of variation	df	Mean square	<i>F</i> value	P	
Lines	163	2,853	$8.29**$	< 0.0001	
Environments	3	15,160	44.07**	< 0.0001	
Replicates	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	14	0.5	0.5848	
Lines $\times$ environments	489	344	$13.3**$	< 0.0001	
Error	984	26			

QTL. A walk speed of 1.0 cM was chosen for all QTL estimations. QTL effects were estimated as the proportion of phenotypic variance  $(R^2)$  explained (PVE) by the QTL.

## **Results**

#### Phenotypic evaluation

Leaf rust developed well in all trials. The frequency distribution of leaf rust FDS for the 164 RILs in each environment revealed a continuous distribution skewed towards resistance (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)), indicating polygenic inheritance. The FDS of the susceptible control Zhengzhou 5389 ranged from 70 to 100 %, from 90 to 100 %, from 80 to 90 %, and from 80 to 90 % in Baoding 2011, Baoding 2012, Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively. SHA3/CBRD had a mean FDS of 0–5 % across the four environments, whereas Naxos was rated with a mean FDS of 15–70 % (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)). Similar results were obtained across locations and years (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)). The broadsense heritability of FDS was 0.88. ANOVA showed significant differences ( $P = 0.01$ ) in FDS among RILs, environments and line  $\times$  environment interactions (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

Construction of linkage maps

A total of 952 SSR markers was tested for polymorphism between SHA3/CBRD and Naxos, and 279 (29.3 %) were polymorphic. The latter were used to genotype individual RILs from the population for construction of genetic linkage maps. Additionally, 283 polymorphic DArT markers covering all chromosomes and 6 molecular markers specific for the 1B.1R translocation were used for mapping the population.

Resistance genes postulated from seedling reactions and molecular markers

Variation in IT and IT arrays conferred by 36 known leaf rust genes in differential lines, inoculated with 14 *P. tri‑ ticina* pathotypes (Table [1](#page-3-0)), provided an ability to postulate 17 resistance genes (*Lr1*, *Lr2a*, *Lr2b*, *Lr3ka*, *Lr10*, *Lr11*, *Lr14a*, *Lr15*, *Lr17a*, *Lr18*, *Lr20*, *Lr21*, *Lr26*, *Lr30*, *Lr36*, *Lr44* and *Lr45*). Resistance genes *Lr9*, *Lr19*, *Lr24*, *Lr28*, *Lr29*, *Lr39*, *Lr42*, *Lr47*, *Lr51* and *Lr53* conferred low ITs to all pathotypes. Postulation of genes *Lr2c*, *Lr3*, *Lr3bg*, *Lr13*, *Lr14b*, *Lr16*, *Lr23*, *Lr33*, and *LrB* was not possible because high infection types (ITs) were recorded with most pathotypes. SHA3/CBRD displayed low ITs with three *Lr26*-avirulent pathotypes (FGBQ, FGDQ and TGTT) and three *Lr26*-virulent pathotypes (PHKS, FHDS and THJP) (Table [1\)](#page-3-0), indicating SHA3/CBRD possessed *Lr26*, which was confirmed by the 1BL.1RS specific markers (Chai et al. [2006\)](#page-9-20), plus other unknown genes. Naxos was postulated to contain *Lr1* because it gives low ITs to seven *Lr1*-avirulent pathotypes. The presence of *Lr1* in Naxos was also confirmed by molecular marker WR003 (Qiu et al. [2007\)](#page-10-21).



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 1** Distribution for FDS in the SHA3/CBRD//Naxos  $F<sub>6</sub>$  RIL population across four environments

## QTL for LR resistance

Using inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) analysis, 6 QTL for APR were identified on chromosomes 1AL, 2BS, 2DL, 5B, 7BS and 7DS based on the mean FDS in Baoding 2011, Baoding 2012, Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012 (Fig. [2](#page-5-0); Table [3](#page-8-0)). The resistance alleles of the QTL on 1AL and 2BS were contributed by SHA3/CBRD, whereas those on 2DL, 5B, 7BS and 7DS were from Naxos.

A major QTL for LR located on chromosome 2BS, designated *QLr.hebau*-*2BS*, was detected in all four environments. Flanked by *XwPt8548* and *XwPt2314*, it explained 19.3, 15.3, 37.4 and 19.6 % of the phenotypic variance in Baoding 2011, Baoding 2012, Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively.

The consistently detected QTL with larger effect, *QLr.hebau*-*1AL*, flanked by *Xbarc213* and *Xcfa2219*, and explained 5.5, 8.2 and 5.3 % of the phenotypic variance in Baoding 2011, Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively.

QTL *QLr.hebau*-*7BS*, flanked by *XwPt7653* and *Xgwm573*, was detected in two environments and explained 5.3 and 4.2 % of the phenotypic variance in Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively.

Three QTL, *QLr.hebau*-*2DL*, *QLr.hebau*-*5B* and *QLr.hebau*-*7DS*, were detected in single environments. *QLr.hebau*-*5B* and *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* were in marker intervals *Xtpt7755*-*Xbarc128a* and *Xgwm1220*-*Xswm10*, and explained 6.0 and 4.4 %, respectively, of the phenotypic variance in Zhoukou 2012. Another QTL *QLr.hebau*-*2DL* was located between *XwPt2781* and *Xcfd233* on chromosome 2DL, explaining 5.7 % of the phenotypic variance in Zhoukou 2011.

The total phenotypic variance explained by detected QTL ranged from 15.3 to 61.0 % across the four environments in a simultaneous fit, suggesting a significant effect of these QTL in reducing LR severity. Interactions among different QTL across the four environments were not identified using IciMapping V3.1, indicating all six QTL had additive effects (Table [3](#page-8-0)).

## **Discussion**

Although the wheat line SHA3/CBRD was susceptible to *P. triticina* pathotypes MHJS, THJL and PHGP at the seedling stage (Table [1\)](#page-3-0), it showed high resistance with mean FDS from 0 to 5 % when inoculated with these pathotypes in the field, and two APR QTL, viz. *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* and *QLr.hebau*-*1A*, for leaf rust were detected in SHA3/CBRD. The other parent Naxos was also susceptible to the three *P*. *triticina* pathotypes at the seedling stage. Although it showed moderately resistant to moderately susceptible

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2** LOD contours for QTL on chromosomes 1AL, 2BS, ▸2DL, 5B, 7BS and 7DS that reduce leaf rust severities in the SHA3/CBRD//Naxos RIL population. Genetic distances are shown in centiMorgans (cM) to the left of the vertical axis. The approximate positions of QTL are indicated by oblong *black squares* to the left of markers and their lengths represent the interval distances of flanking markers. LOD threshold of 2.5 is indicated by a *dashed vertical line* in each graph

reaction with FDS from 15 to 70 % in field tests, four of the APR QTL, viz. *QLr.hebau*-*7BS*, *QLr.hebau*-*2DL*, *QLr.hebau*-*5B* and *QLr.hebau*-*7DS*, were derived from this parent.

Environmental influence on APR QTL

Expression of minor genes is often affected by environment, including temperature, light and moisture (Roelfs et al. [1992\)](#page-10-11). It is therefore necessary to evalute segregating populations across environments in order to identify stable QTLs. For example, two leaf rust APR QTLs on chromosome 6BS were identified in a RIL population from Bainong 64/Jingshuang 16; they explained 11.2 and 9.7 % of phenotype variance, respectively, in Baoding 2011, but they were not detected in Zhoukou 2011 (Ren et al. [2012b](#page-10-9)). In the present study, ANOVA showed significant line  $\times$  environment interaction differences ( $P < 0.0001$ ) in FDS (Table [2\)](#page-4-0), indicating that environments had significant effects on expression of APR genes. Three APR QTL, viz. *QLr.hebau*-*2DL*, *QLr.hebau*-*7BS*, *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* and two APR QTL, viz. *QLr.hebau*-*5B*, *QLr.hebau*-*7BS*, from Naxos were detected in Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively, but they were not identified in both Baoding 2011 and Baoding 2012 (Fig. [1](#page-4-1)).

Comparisons with previous reports

#### *QLr.hebau‑1AL*

This is the first QTL for LR resistance identified on chromosome 1AL and is therefore likely to be a new leaf rust APR QTL. A QTL for stripe rust resistance, *QYr.caas*-*1AL*, was reported by Ren et al. [\(2012a\)](#page-10-10) using the same RIL population from SHA3/CBRD//Naxos, but *QYr.caas*-*1AL* was contributed by Naxos whereas *QLr.hebau*-*1AL* is from SHA3/CBRD.

## *A major leaf rust APR QTL on chromosome 2BS*

The QTL on chromosome 2BS was derived from SHA3/CBRD. *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* was stably detected across all four environments, and explained 19.3–37.4 % of the phenotypic variation. Marker *wPt2314* near *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* is not present on the consensus map (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)),







**Fig. 2** continued

but its position was inferred to be 15 cM from the distal end of the chromosome based on its linkage (about 15 cM distal) to *wmc154* (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)). There are five *Lr* genes, *Lr13* (Seyfarth et al. [2000\)](#page-10-22), *Lr16* (McCartney et al. [2005](#page-9-25)), *Lr23* (McIntosh and Dyck [1975\)](#page-10-23), *Lr35* (Seyfarth et al. [1999\)](#page-10-24) and *Lr48* (Bansal et al. [2008\)](#page-9-26) on 2BS. *Lr13*, *Lr35* and *Lr48* are APR genes. *Lr13* and *Lr35* are estimated to be 44 cM, and *Lr48* 32 cM proximal to *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* based on the wheat consensus map (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)), indicating that *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* is different from *Lr13*, *Lr35* and *Lr48*. Based on the wheat consensus map, *Lr16* is at the terminal of chromosome 2BS (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)) and linked to *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* by a genetic distance of 15 cM. In Mexico *Lr16* behaves as a slow rusting gene at the adult plant stage (J. Huerta-Espino, pers. comm.), but in our trials, the reference line with *Lr16* was highly susceptible to all *P. triticina* pathotypes in seedling tests and was also highly susceptible (80S) in the field (data not shown). Thus *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* is likely different from *Lr16*. It was concluded that *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* might be a new major APR QTL for leaf rust resistance and could be a potentially important APR gene for wheat breeding.

#### *QLr.hebau‑2DL*

Schnurbusch et al. ([2004\)](#page-10-25) identified a QTL flanked by *Xglk302* and *Xgwm539* on chromosome 2DL in a Swiss winter wheat population derived from Arina/Forno. It was approximately 12 cM from *QLr.hebau*-*2DL* based on the wheat consensus map (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)), indicating that this locus may be the same as *QLr.hebau*-*2DL*, and the relationship between these two loci should be further assessed in the future. A QTL for stripe rust resistance on chromosome 2DL, *QYr.caas*-*2DL*, was reported by Ren et al. ([2012a](#page-10-10)) using the present population of SHA3/CBRD//Naxos, with both of the resistance alleles contributed by Naxos. They are likely to be the same QTL based on their chromosomal locations. Lu et al. ([2012\)](#page-9-15)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

mapped a major QTL for powdery mildew (PM) derived from Naxos to the distal *Xwmc817*-*Xcfd50* region of 2DL. Although the reported maps suggested about 20 cM between the PM QTL and *QLr.hebau*-*2DL*, when we reanalyzed the data using a combined model for leaf rust and powdery mildew (data not shown), the two QTL were much more closely associated and could be the same gene. Thus, the APR QTL for *QLr.hebau*-*2DL* was likely to be associated with QTL for stripe rust and powdery mildew.

#### *QLr.hebau‑5B*

Messmer et al. ([2000\)](#page-10-26) identified a QTL for leaf rust resistance on chromosome 5B in winter wheat Forno, closely linked to the markers *Xpsr580b* and *Xpsr143*. This QTL should be different from *QLr.hebau*-*5B* based on a map distance of about 100 cM separating them on the wheat consensus map (Somers et al. [2004](#page-10-20)).

## *QLr.hebau‑7BS*

Schnurbusch et al. [\(2004](#page-10-25)) identified a QTL *QLr.sfr*-*7BS* flanked by *Xsfr.BE427461* and *Xgwm573b* on chromosome 7BS in a winter wheat population derived from Arina/Forno. It explained 8.8 % of the phenotypic variance in LR severity across environments. In the present study, *QLr.hebau*-*7BS*, flanked by *XwPt7653* and *Xgwm573*, explained 5.3 and 4.2 % of the phenotypic variance in Zhoukou 2011 and Zhoukou 2012, respectively. *QLr.hebau*-*7BS* was located on the same chromosome region as *QLr.sfr*-*7BS*.

## *QLr.hebau‑7DS*

Only the pleiotropic APR gene *Lr34/Yr18/Pm38/Sr57* was previously mapped to chromosome 7DS (Singh [1992](#page-10-5);

Lillemo et al. [2008](#page-9-10); Spielmeyer et al. [2005](#page-10-27)). In the present study, *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* also mapped to 7DS, and was flanked by *Xgwm1220* and *Xswm10* which are linked to *Lr34* (Somers et al. [2004\)](#page-10-20). However, genotyping with the diagnostic marker *cssfr5* (Lagudah et al. [2009](#page-9-27)) showed that both parents carry the 523 bp allele associated with susceptibility (results not shown), indicating that *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* is different from *Lr34*. *Lr34* generally provides a strong effect in decreasing leaf rust severity (Nelson et al. [1997](#page-10-7); Singh et al. [2000b\)](#page-10-28), whereas *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* showed a relatively weak effect and was detected above the significance threshold in only one environment. A powdery mildew APR QTL from Naxos mapped to the same chromosome region using this population. Therefore *QLr.hebau*-*7DS* is likely a new minor APR QTL with potentially pleiotropic effects on leaf rust and powdery mildew responses.

#### **Breeding application**

Six QTL for resistance to leaf rust were detected on chromosomes 1AL, 2BS, 2DL, 5B, 7BS and 7DS (Table [3](#page-8-0)). All the closely linked markers should be validated in markerassisted selection (MAS) before usage for improving resistance to leaf rust in wheat breeding. The APR QTL *QLr.hebau*-*2BS* provided a significant level of stable resistance to leaf rust in all environments, and may be an important APR gene for use in wheat breeding. However, further research should be done to study the resistance mechanism and to determine if it is race specific and therefore more likely to be non-durable.

Two potentially pleiotropic APR QTL were detected in the population and both were derived from Naxos. The first was on 2DL, and evidence from different studies indicated effects on leaf rust, stripe rust and powdery mildew responses. The second was mapped on 7DS at a

similar position to, but evidently different from the pleiotropic *Lr34/Yr18/Pm38/Sr57*. It conferred resistance to leaf rust and powdery mildew, but no effect on stripe rust response was found in a previous study. Known pleiotropic APR genes, such as *Lr34/Yr18/Pm38/Sr57* and *Lr46/Yr29/ Pm39/Sr58* (William et al. [2003\)](#page-10-8), can be combined with potentially pleiotropic APR QTL identified in the present study to breed wheat cultivars with durable resistance to multiple diseases.

**Acknowledgments** We are grateful to the critical review of this manuscript by Prof. R. A. McIntosh, Plant Breeding Institute, University of Sydney, Australia. The project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31361140367 and 31300562), the National Key Basic Research Program of China (2013CB127700), International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China, the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-3-1-3), the Key Project of Hebei Applied Foundation Research Plan (11960145D), and the scientific research team of Baoding University (KYTD2013001).

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in the reported research.

**Ethical standards** The authors note that this research is performed and reported in accordance with ethical standards of the scientifc conduct.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-9-21"></span>Allard RW (1960) Principles of plant breeding. Wiley, New York
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>Bansal UK, Hayden MJ, Venkata BP, Khanna R, Saini RG, Bariana HS (2008) Genetic mapping of adult plant leaf rust resistance genes *Lr48* and *Lr49* in common wheat. Theor Appl Genet 117:307–312
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Bjarko ME, Line RF (1988) Heritability and number of genes controlling leaf rust resistance in four cultivars of wheat. Phytopathology 78:457–461
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Bossolini E, Krattinger SG, Keller B (2006) Development of simple sequence repeat markers specific for the *Lr34* resistance region of wheat using sequence information from rice and *Aegilops tauschii*. Theor Appl Genet 113:1049–1062
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Caldwell RM (1968) Breeding for general and/or specific plant disease resistance. In: Finlay KW, Shepherd KW (eds) Proc 3rd Int Wheat Genet Symp. Australian Academy of Sciences, Canberra, pp 263–272
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Chai JF, Zhou RH, Jia JZ, Liu X (2006) Development and application of a new codominant PCR marker for detecting 1BL.1RS wheat– rye chromosome translocations. Plant Breed 125:302–304
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Dubin HJ, Johnson R, Stubbs RW (1989) Postulated genes to stripe rust in selected CIMMYT and related wheats. Plant Dis 73:472–475
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Dyck PL (1977) Genetics of leaf rust reaction in three introductions of common wheat. Can J Genet Cytol 19:711–716
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Dyck PL (1987) The association of a gene for leaf rust resistance with the chromosome 7D suppressor of stem rust resistance in common wheat. Genome 29:467–469
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Dyck PL, Samborski DJ (1982) The inheritance of resistance to *Puc‑ cinia recondita* in a group of common wheat cultivars. Can J Genet Cytol 24:273–283
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Edwards KJ, Isaac P, Korzun V, Röder M, Gautier M-F, Joudrier P, Schlatter AR, Dubcovsky J, De la Pena

RC, Khairallah M, Penner G, Hayden MJ, Sharp P, Keller B, Wang RCC, Hardouin JP, Jack P, Leroy P (2002) Genetic mapping of 66 new microsatellite (SSR) loci in bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 105:413–422

- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Herrera-Foessel SA, Lagudah ES, Huerta-Espino J, Hayden MJ, Bariana HS, Singh D, Singh RP (2011) New slow-rusting leaf rust and stripe rust resistance genes *Lr67* and *Yr46* in wheat are pleiotropic or closely linked. Theor Appl Genet 122:239–249
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Herrera-Foessel SA, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rosewarne GM, Periyannan SK, Viccars L, Calvo-Salazar V, Lan C, Lagudah ES (2012) *Lr68*: a new gene conferring slow rusting resistance to leaf rust in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 124:1475–1486
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Herrera-Foessel SA, Singh RP, Lillemo M, Huerta-Espino J, Bhavani S, Singh S, Lan C, Calvo-Salazar V, Lagudah ES (2014) *Lr67*/*Yr46* confers adult plant resistance to stem rust and powdery mildew in wheat. Theor Appl Genet. doi[:10.1007/s00122-013-2256-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-013-2256-9)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Knott (1989) The wheat-rust breeding for resistance. Monographs on Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 12th edn. Springer Berlin, Germany
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Annu Eugen 12:172–175
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, McFadden H, Bossolini E, Selter LL, Keller B (2009) A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science 323:1360–1363
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Wicker T, Risk JM, Ashton AR, Selter LL, Matsumoto T, Keller B (2011) *Lr34* multi-pathogen resistance ABC transporter: molecular analysis of homoeologous and orthologous genes in hexaploid wheat and other grass species. Plant J 65:392–403
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>Lagudah ES, Krattinger SG, Herrera-Foessel S, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Spielmeyer W, Brown-Guedira G, Selter LL, Keller B (2009) Gene-specific markers for the wheat gene *Lr34*/*Yr18*/*Pm38* which confers resistance to multiple fungal pathogens. Theor Appl Genet 119:889–898
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>Li HH, Ye GY, Wang JK (2007) A modified algorithm for the improvement of composite interval mapping. Genetics 175:361–374
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Li ZF, Lan CX, He ZH, Singh RP, Rosewarne GM, Chen XM, Xia XC (2014) Overview and application of QTL for adult plant resistance to leaf rust and powdery mildew in wheat. Crop Sci CROP-2014-02-0162
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Lillemo M, Asalf B, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Chen XM, He ZH, Bjørnstad Å (2008) The adult plant rust resistance loci *Lr34/Yr18* and *Lr46/Yr29* are important determinants of partial resistance to powdery mildew in bread wheat line Saar. Theor Appl Genet 116:1155–1166
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Long DL, Kolmer JA (1989) A North American system of nomenclature for *Puccinia recondita* f. sp. *tritici*. Phytopathology 79:525–529
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Lu QX, Bjørnstad Å, Ren Y, Asad MA, Xia XC, Chen XM, Ji F, Shi JR, Lillemo M (2012) Partial resistance to powdery mildew in German spring wheat 'Naxos' is based on multiple genes with stable effects in diverse environments. Theor Appl Genet 125:297–309
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>Manly KF, Cudmore RH Jr, Meer JM (2001) Map manager QTX, cross-platform software for genetic mapping. Genome 12:930–932
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>McCartney CA, Somers DJ, McCallum BD, Thomas J, Humphreys DG, Menzies JG, Brown PD (2005) Microsatellite tagging of the leaf rust resistance gene *Lr16* on wheat chromosome 2BSc. Mol Breed 15:329–337
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>McDonald BA, Linde C (2002) Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 40:349–379
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>McIntosh RA (1992) Close genetic linkage of genes conferring adult plant resistance to leaf rust and stripe rust in wheat. Plant Pathol 41:523–527
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>McIntosh RA, Dyck PL (1975) Cytogenetical studies in wheat VII. Gene *Lr23* for reaction to *Puccinia recondita* in Gabo and related cultivars. Aust J Biol Sci 28:201–211
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>McIntosh RA, Yamazaki Y, Dubcovsky J, Rogers WJ, Morris C, Appels R, Xia XC (2013) Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2013. [http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/macgene/](http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/macgene/2013/GeneCatalogueIntroduction.pdf) [2013/GeneCatalogueIntroduction.pdf](http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/macgene/2013/GeneCatalogueIntroduction.pdf)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Messmer MM, Seyfarth S, Keller M, Schachermayr G, Winzeler M, Zanetti S, Feuillet C, Keller B (2000) Genetic analysis of durable leaf rust resistance in winter wheat. Theor Appl Genet 100:419–431
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Nelson JC, Singh RP, Autrique JE, Sorrells ME (1997) Mapping genes conferring and suppressing leaf rust resistance in wheat. Crop Sci 37:1928–1935
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Parida SK, Anand Raj Kumar K, Dalal V, Singh NK, Mohapatra T (2006) Unigene derived microsatellite markers for the cereal genomes. Theor Appl Genet 112:808–817
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Pestsova E, Ganal MW, Röder MS (2000) Isolation and mapping of microsatellite markers specific for the D genome of bread wheat. Genome 43:689–697
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Peterson RF, Campbell AB, Hannah AE (1948) A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust intensity of leaves and stems of cereals. Can J Res 26:496–500
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Qiu JW, Schürch AC, Yahiaoui N, Dong LL, Fan HJ, Zhang ZJ, Keller B, Ling HQ (2007) Physical mapping and identification of a candidate for the leaf rust resistance gene *Lr1* of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 115:159–168
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Ren Y, He ZH, Li J, Lillemo M, Wu L, Bai B, Lu QG, Zhu HZ, Zhou G, Du JY, Lu QL, Xia XC (2012a) QTL mapping of adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in a population derived from common wheat cultivars Naxos and Shanghai 3/Catbird. Theor Appl Genet 125:1211–1221
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Ren Y, Li ZF, He ZH, Wu L, Bai B, Lan CX, Wang CF, Zhou G, Zhu HZ, Xia XC (2012b) QTL mapping of adult-plant resistances to stripe rust and leaf rust in Chinese wheat cultivar Bainong 64. Theor Appl Genet 125:1253–1262
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K, Plaschke J, Tixier MH, Leroy P, Ganal MW (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. Genetics 149:2007–2023
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Roelfs AP, Singh RP, Saari EE (1992) Rust diseases of wheat: concepts and methods of disease management. CIMMYT, Mexico
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Schnurbusch T, Paillard S, Schori A, Messmer M, Schachermayr G, Winzeler M, Keller B (2004) Dissection of quantitative and durable leaf rust resistance in Swiss winter wheat reveals a major resistance QTL in the *Lr34* chromosome region. Theor Appl Genet 108:477–484
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Seyfarth R, Feuillet C, Schachermayr G, Winzeler M, Keller B (1999) Development of a molecular marker for the adult plant leaf rust resistance gene *Lr35* in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 99:554–560
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Seyfarth R, Feuillet C, Schachermayr G, Messmer M, Winzeler M, Keller B (2000) Molecular mapping of the adult-plant rust resistance gene *Lr13* in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J Genet Breed 54:193–198
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Singh RP (1992) Genetic association of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr34* with adult plant resistance to stripe rust in bread wheat. Phytopathology 82:835–838
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Singh RP, Mujeeb-Kazi A, Huerta-Espino J (1998) *Lr46*: a gene conferring slow-rusting resistance to leaf rust in wheat. Phytopathology 88:890–894
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Rajaram S (2000a) Achieving near-immunity to leaf and stripe rusts in wheat by combining slow rusting resistance genes. Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung 35:133–139
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Singh RP, Nelson JC, Sorrells ME (2000b) Mapping *Yr28* and other genes for resistance to stripe rust in wheat. Crop Sci 40:1148–1155
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Somers DJ, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsatellite consensus map for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 109:1105–1114
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Song QJ, Fickus EW, Cregan PB (2002) Characterization of trinucleotide SSR motifs in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 104:286–293
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Sourdille P, Singh S, Cadalen T, Brown-Guedira GL, Gay G, Qi L, Gill BS, Dufour P, Murigneux A, Bernard M (2004) Microsatellite-based deletion bin system for the establishment of geneticphysical map relationships in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Funct Integr Genomics 4:12–25
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>Spielmeyer W, McIntosh RA, Kolmer J, Lagudah ES (2005) Powdery mildew resistance and *Lr34*/*Yr18* genes for durable resistance to leaf and stripe rust cosegregate at a locus on the short arm of chromosome 7D of wheat. Theor Appl Genet 111:731–735
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>William M, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, Ortiz Islas S, Hoisington D (2003) Molecular marker mapping of leaf rust resistance gene *Lr46* and its association with stripe rust resistance gene *Yr29* in wheat. Phytopathology 93:153–159
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Xue SL, Zhang ZZ, Lin F, Kong ZX, Cao Y, Li CJ, Yi HY, Mei MF, Zhu HL, Wu JZ, Xu HB, Zhao DM, Tian DG, Zhang CQ, Ma ZQ (2008) A high-density intervarietal map of the wheat genome enriched with markers derived from expressed sequence tags. Theor Appl Genet 117:181–189
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Yu JK, Dake TM, Singh S, Benscher D, Li WL, Gill B, Sorrells ME (2004) Development and mapping of EST-derived simple sequence repeat markers for hexaploid wheat. Genome 47:805–818
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Zhang LJ, Li ZF, Lillemo M, Xia XC, Liu DQ, Yang WX, Luo JC, Wang HY (2009) QTL mapping for adult-plant resistance to leaf rust in CIMMYT wheat cultivar Saar. Sci Agric Sin 42:388–397
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Zhao XL, Zheng TC, Xia XC, He ZH, Liu DQ, Yang WX, Yin GH, Li ZF (2008) Molecular mapping of leaf rust resistance gene *LrZH84* in Chinese wheat line Zhou 8425B. Theor Appl Genet 117:1069–1075
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Zhou HX, Xia XC, He ZH, Li X, Wang CF, Li ZF, Liu DQ (2013) Molecular mapping of leaf rust resistance gene *LrNJ97* in Chinese wheat line Neijiang 977671. Theor Appl Genet 126:2141–2147